## **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**



## RULE-MAKING ORDER PERMANENT RULE ONLY

## **CR-103P (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.360)

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: July 05, 2018

TIME: 2:44 PM

WSR 18-15-002

000 (500)
Agency: Office of Financial Management
Effective date of rule:  Permanent Rules  □ 31 days after filing.  □ Other (specify) (If less than 31 days after filing, a specific finding under RCW 34.05.380(3) is required and should be stated below)
Any other findings required by other provisions of law as precondition to adoption or effectiveness of rule?
☐ Yes ⊠ No If Yes, explain:
<b>Purpose:</b> The purpose of the rule is to establish the penalties for the inappropriate disclosure or use of direct patient identifiers, indirect patient identifiers, or proprietary financial information from the Washington All Payer Claims Database, and the procedures for filing a complaint, investigation and finding of a violation, along with how to appeal a finding of a violation.
Citation of rules affected by this order:
New: WAC 82-75-600, -605, -610, -615, -620, -625, -630, -635, -640, -645, -650, -655, -660 and -665
Repealed:
Amended: 82-75-030
Suspended: Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 43.371.070(1)(h)
Other authority:
PERMANENT RULE (Including Expedited Rule Making)  Adopted under notice filed as WSR 18-08-030 on March 27, 2018 (date).  Describe any changes other than editing from proposed to adopted version: In WAC 82-75-600(1), language was added to make clear that penalties may be imposed for inappropriate disclosure or use of the information not only received from, but also provided to or contained in the WA-APCD. In WAC 82-75-610(6)(b), language was added to make clear that notice that a complaint has been closed without action will include the basis for that determination. In WAC 82-75-630(1), to make it clear that the OFM director would not direct the lead organization, when it is the alleged violator, to do a review of its own contract to determine whether it breached that contract, language was added that the lead would not be directed to do the review if it is the violator and that the WA-APCD program director would do the review. In WAC 82-75-630(2), language was added to clarify that demand for the destruction of data includes all WA-APCD data, "whether stand alone or combined with other data, all data products, and derivatives produced from WA-APCD data," And finally in WAC 82-75-635, language was changed to reflect that the OFM director will look at culpability levels in determining the penalty.  If a preliminary cost-benefit analysis was prepared under RCW 34.05.328, a final cost-benefit analysis is available by contacting:
Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Web site: Other:

## Note: If any category is left blank, it will be calculated as zero. No descriptive text.

Count by whole WAC sections only, from the WAC number through the history note.

A section may be counted in more than one category.

•				,		
The number of sections adopted in order to comply	with:					
Federal statute:	New		Amended		Repealed	
Federal rules or standards:	New		Amended		Repealed	
Recently enacted state statutes:	New	14	Amended	<u>1</u>	Repealed	
The number of sections adopted at the request of a	nongo	overnmenta	ıl entity:			
	New		Amended		Repealed	
The number of sections adopted on the agency's ow	vn init	iative:				
	New	14	Amended	<u>1</u>	Repealed	
Γhe number of sections adopted in order to clarify, s	strean	nline, or ref	orm agency	procedu	res:	
	New		Amended		Repealed	
The number of sections adopted using:						
Negotiated rule making:	New		Amended		Repealed	
Pilot rule making:	New		Amended		Repealed	
Other alternative rule making:	New	14	Amended	<u>1</u>	Repealed	
Date Adopted: July 5, 2018	:	Signature:				
Name: Roselyn Marcus		(	Rul	yn P	Narcu	
Title: Assistant Director Legal & Legislative Affairs				٧		-