

## WSU Survey Questions

### Sub-Committee Meeting March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2016

The survey should review current sex and kidnapping offender risk level assignment evaluation standards (related to all offenders, including those not in treatment and not on supervision). These questions were developed by the SOPB sub-committee in collaboration with those in the field.

1. Do you represent a Sheriff's Office, or a Police Department?
2. What is the current process for evaluating an unrated sexual offender?
3. What is the current process for evaluating a previously classified offender?
4. Does obtaining records from out-of-state hinder your ability to complete timely assessments?
5. Are there any other factors that hinder your ability to complete timely assessments?
6. What tools and materials are used when completing an assessment for adult offenders?
7. What tools and materials are used when completing an assessment for juvenile offenders?
8. Are you using any tools other than the STATIC-99R and if so, what tool and for what population?
9. Is risk level classification aggravated for failure to register?
10. Are you deviating from aggravating and mitigating language in the tool used? If so, what language are you using instead?
11. Do you routinely send in a departure form if you assign a level other than the one recommended by the End of Sentence Review Committee (ESRC) following the initial review of an offender?
12. Do you complete more than 10 assessments per year?
13. What is the process for approving the proposed risk classification level?
14. What assessment-related training is offered to you?
15. Do you feel that you could benefit from additional training?

16. Have you attended a WASPC or DOC sponsored training in the use of the STATIC-99R?

17. Have you attended a WASPC or DSHS sponsored training in the use of the WSSORLC?

18. Would you or others in your agency be interested in participating in utilizing any of the following resources?

- a. Use of an electronic coding manual;
- b. Engaging in blind scoring;
- c. Conferring with another frequent user;
- d. Using an automated scoring form;
- e. Having another trained user double check scoring and rationale for consistency with coding rules; and
- f. Ongoing training

19. Is there a process for an offender to appeal an initial risk assessment classification? If so, what is that process?

Other: Who do we want to send this to? Current suggestion is one to each department.