**2005 Boards and Commission Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Independent Living Council (SILC)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C)</strong></td>
<td>DSHS/Division of Vocational Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003</strong></td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Official or agency to which B/C reports</strong></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Year created</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Number of members</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. # of meetings last biennium</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **Summary of primary responsibilities:**
The responsibilities of the Council are described in both the Rehab Act of 1973, as amended (Title VII) and in the Executive Order 93-04 and include to jointly develop and submit the State Plan for Independent Living in conjunction with the general vocational rehabilitation program; monitor, review and evaluate the implementation of the State Plan for Independent Living; coordinate activities with councils and agencies that address the needs of disability populations; submit reports to the Federal RSA Commissioner upon request and perform other functions, consistent with the Act.

8. **Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services.** (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2003-05 Cost Actual</th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>$206,401</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005-07 Cost Estimate</td>
<td>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</td>
<td>Federal Part B money through Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and Department of Services for the Blind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?**
The state would lose eligibility for federal Independent Living services funding.

10. **What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?**

See above answer.
Additionally, eliminating the SILC would silence the voice of people with disabilities in state policy debate that affects them, and reduces the opportunity to find other resources of funding and support for the Centers for Independent Living (CILs.) Elimination of the SILC would harm the State's ten plus years of partnership with the CILs.

11. **What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement.** State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.
Governor's Executive Order 04-05.

12. **Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director):**
Governor

13. **Is Senate confirmation required?** Yes ☒ No ☐
14. **Authority to issue subpoenas?** Yes ☐ No ☒
15. **What is the compensation class of the B/C?** (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)
Class One ☒ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☐
16. **What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?**
Director of a Center for Independent Living (CIL) as chosen by directors of CILs in WA; a representative from the Designated State Unit for the public vocational rehabilitation program Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and the Department of Services for the Blind (ex-officio/non-voting); representatives from other state agencies providing services to people with disabilities (ex-officio/non-voting), one representative of directors of any vocational rehabilitation services projects operated by Indian tribes on reservations in Washington with Rehabilitation Act funding. The SILC may include members from: other CIL representatives; parents and guardians of individuals with disabilities; advocates of and or individuals with disabilities; representatives from private businesses; representatives from organizations that provide services for individuals with disabilities, and other appropriate individuals.

17. **Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions?** What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?
The Federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended in 1998 requires the state to establish a SILC to be eligible to receive federal Independent Living services funding.

18. **Certification**
I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Cathleen Baldwin
Executive Director
June 30, 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Independent Living Council</td>
<td>PO box 45343, Olympia WA 98504-5343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(360) 407-3603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C)______________________________________________________________
2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003______________________________________________________________
   Governor
3. Official or agency to which B/C reports______________________________________________________________
4. Year created_____________________________________________________
5. Number of members_______________________________________________
6. # of meetings last biennium________________________________________


8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2003-05 Cost Actual</th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.99 Million</td>
<td>2.19 Million</td>
<td>GF State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency? If assigned within agency, no change in responsibilities. If assigned to another agency, there would be a loss of judicial immunity and commensurate increase in tax-payer liability.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely? Increased crime. Potential for judicial review due to statutory obligation; need for statutory revision; more civil commitment procedures for sexually violent predators.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.

   RCW 9.95, WAC 381, RCW 9.94A.712

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director): Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes ☒ No ☐ 14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes ☒ No ☐

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)

   Class One ☐ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☒ Chair Full Time Members 60% Time*

   *The classes are not applicable. Compensation is governed by RCW 43.03.040; 43.03.050 & 43.03.060

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?

   3 members, 1 of which shall be designated Chair by the Governor. No other requirements

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization? None

18. Certification

   I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

   Jeralita P. Costa Chair 8/16/05

   Name Indeterminate Sentence Review Board P.O. Box 40907, Olympia, 98504-0907 360-493-9271

   Agency Address Phone
Indian Policy Advisory Committee (IPAC)

1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C): DSHS

2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003: 1978

3. Official or agency to which B/C reports: 35 delegates, 45 alternates

4. Year created: 1978

5. Number of members: 8

6. # of meetings last biennium:

7. Summary of primary responsibilities:
The committee provides consultation and advice to the DSHS Secretary related to policies and issues that affect American Indian people and their communities. These policies are a direct reflection of the Governor's Centennial Accord, DSHS Administrative Policy 7.01, and the Tribal-State Agreements between specific programs and Tribal Governments. This committee also discusses access to funding and services, training, and culturally relevant service delivery.

8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-05 Cost Actual</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-07 Cost Estimate</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?
The Government-to-Government intent and trust relationship would not be achieved.

There are 29 Federally Recognized Tribes in this state that operate at different levels, their social services programs would be grossly interrupted if staff did not understand the cultural differences.

Not all agencies have Tribal Liaisons or Indian Affairs Offices to meet the growing needs of the Tribal Governments, it would be helpful to have counterparts in each agency to work on Indian issues.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?
Working relationships with Tribal Governments would cease. The State would be going against the Governor's agreement, DSHS policy and the many Tribal/State Agreements that have been formed.

Funding and services to Indian children and families would be hindered.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.
Governor's Centennial Accord, Governor's Millennium Agreement, DSHS Policy 7.01

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director): DSHS Secretary and Tribal Chairman by Resolution.

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes ☐ No ☒

14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes ☐ No ☒

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)

Class One ☐ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☐

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?
Representatives from all 29 Federally Recognized Tribes in Washington State and representatives from Recognized American Indian Organizations by resolution or letter to the IPAC body and DSHS Secretary.

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?
None

18. Certification

_I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge._

Colleen F. Cawston
Director
6/30/05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSHS/Indian Policy and Support Services</td>
<td>P.O. Box 45105 Olympia, WA 98504</td>
<td>(360) 902-7816</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals

1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C)  
   None - this is a permanent full-time agency

2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003
   1949

3. Official or agency to which B/C reports

4. Year created
   1949

5. Number of members
   3

6. # of meetings last biennium
   48

7. Summary of primary responsibilities:

   The resolution of disputes involving several specialized areas of law: (1) workers’ compensation, including assessment of industrial insurance premiums, RCW Title 51; (2) citations issued under the Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act (WISHA), RCW 49.17; (3) assessments and penalties issued under RCW 49.70, the Worker and Community Right to Know Act; (4) Decisions affecting compensation of innocent victims of crime, RCW 7.68; (5) safety appeals for late night retail establishments, RCW 49.22.030; (6) the Charter Boat Safety Act, RCW 88.04.085; (7) the Explosives Act, RCW 7.74.390; and (8) asbestos certification, RCW 49.26.110.

8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2003-05 Cost Actual</th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30,000,000</td>
<td>608 A Accident Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32,800,000</td>
<td>609 A Medical Aid Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163 A Worker/Community Right to Know Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?

   This is a constituent-driven agency. Business and Labor consider it necessary to have an independent review of decisions of the Department of Labor and Industries by people with expertise in the areas of law administered by the Board. There are no existing staff in other agencies with expertise in these areas of the law. Transferring responsibilities to another agency would save no money and could eliminate the independent review.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?

   If the Board was abolished, appeals would have to go to superior courts, which would flood the system.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.

   RCW, Title 51, RCW 49.17, RCW 49.7, RCW 7.68, RCW 49.22.230, RCW 88.04.085, RCW 70.74.390, and RCW 49.26.110. The authority is specific to the Board.

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director):

   Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required?  
   Yes ☐ No ☐

14. Authority to issue subpoenas?  
   Yes ☐ No ☐

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)

   Class One ☐ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☑

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?

   Chairperson: Represents general public  
   Member: Represents workers  
   Member: Represents employers

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?

   None

18. Certification

   I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

   Jay Raish  
   Confidential Secretary  
   5/25/05

   Name  
   Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals  
   Title  
   P.O. Box 42401  
   Date  
   360-753-6824  
   Agency  
   Address  
   Phone
### 2005 Boards and Commission Report

#### Information Services Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C)</th>
<th>Information Services Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Information Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003</th>
<th>1987</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Official or agency to which B/C reports</th>
<th>4. Year created</th>
<th>5. Number of members</th>
<th>6. # of meetings last biennium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Information Services Management and Oversight of Strategic Technologies Division</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Summary of primary responsibilities:

The Legislature created the Information Services Board to provide a state-level perspective on strategic direction, policy for information technology, and oversight of large technology projects. The Department of Information Services Management and Oversight of Strategic Technologies Division provides staff support for the ISB. The roles and responsibilities of the ISB are: provide leadership and direction for state-level strategic technology planning; develop and adopt state information technology policies, standards, and procedures; provide oversight of major information technology projects and; approve major acquisitions of information technology equipment and software.

#### Summary of operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2003-05 Cost Actual: 8,400
| 2005-07 Cost Estimate: 8,400 |

#### Consequences of abolishing:

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?

If the responsibilities of the ISB were assigned to existing or additional staff, the expertise of the Board members – including legislators; senior state, court, and private sector executives; educators; and senior technology professionals – would be lost. The Board has been instrumental in guiding agencies toward better decisions in the investment of scarce public resources in information technology. The ISB is uniquely situated in relationship to the Department of Information Services (DIS) such that its decisions and policy directions reinforce the development of a shared state technology infrastructure. Taken together, its membership and relationship to DIS are instrumental in the Board’s role in establishing a framework that encourages collaborative efforts and establishes policies to assist in the application of the cost-effective use of statewide information technology resources. If the ISB’s responsibilities were transferred to another agency, the alignment of policy and infrastructure would be difficult to maintain. When such a scenario was explored a number of years ago, the potential alignment difficulties were compounded by the finding that the new host agency would require a significant number of additional FTEs.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?

If the ISB were abolished, responsibility for statewide information technology oversight, resource acquisition, appeal resolution and technical policies would need to be provided elsewhere, resulting in a potential cost increase.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.

RCW 43.105

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director):

| Governor |

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes [ ] No [X]

14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes [ ] No [X]

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)

| Class One [X] | Class Two [ ] | Class Three [ ] | Class Four [ ] | Class Five [ ] | Full-time salaried [ ] |

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?

There are 15 members of the ISB (a statutory change during the 1999 Legislative session added two new legislative members. The effective date for appointment of those members is September 1999, but these new members are included in the count of 15). Membership includes, 8 appointed by the Governor (including 3 members of the private sector and 1 representative from higher education); 1 appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; the Superintendent of Public Instruction (or designee); 2 state senators appointed by the President of the Senate; 2 state representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and the Director of the Department of Information Services.

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?

None

18. Certification

I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Laurel McMillan Administrative Assistant June 23, 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Administrative Assistant</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laurel McMillan</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>June 23, 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Information Services</td>
<td>P.O. Box 42445 Olympia, WA 98504-2445</td>
<td>(360) 902-3566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Summary of primary responsibilities:
The Washington State Institutional Review Board reviews research proposals in DSHS, DOH, and L&I jurisdiction to ensure that the rights and welfare of research subjects are adequately protected, and that risks to subjects are minimized, are not unreasonable and are outweighed by the anticipated benefits of the research. The Review Board verifies that appropriate mechanisms are in place to protect the privacy of potential subjects and the confidentiality of identifiable personal records and study data. The Board also ensures that the proposed research is sound, and that the design and methods are adequate and appropriate in the light of stated project purposes, thereby promoting the responsible expenditure of public and private research funds. Federal regulations, state law and administrative code, and departmental policy require the Review Board to review all research involving DSHS, DOH, or L&I clients, employees, or confidential records, and all research sponsored by DSHS, DOH, or L&I whether or not departmental clients, employees, or confidential records are involved. Review Board approval is a condition for the receipt of federal research funds to DSHS, DOH, and L&I.

8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-05 Cost Actual</td>
<td>241,000</td>
<td>GF-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-07 Cost Estimate</td>
<td>259,000</td>
<td>GF-S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?
Would not meet state and federal requirements for the departments to have a standing institutional review board (IRB) to review research in agency jurisdiction; potential loss of federal research funds.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?
Loss of federal research funds; clients lose protections; researchers lose access to identifiable records for research under RCW 42.48; research is reduced.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.
The Board is required under federal regulation (45 CFR 46) as a condition for the departments to receive federal research funds. The Board is authorized under the general statutory authority (RCW 43.20A.350) of the Secretary to create boards and other organizational units within the department. The Board is required by state statute (RCW 42.48.020) as a condition for the release of confidential state agency records for research purposes.

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director):
Secretary of DSHS

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes ☑ No ☐

14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes ☑ No ☐

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)
Class One ☑ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☐

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?
12 to 18 members per Board, representing departmental programs and professional groups, qualified to review scientific merit and/or ethical soundness of proposed research, with sufficient diversity, including considerations of race, gender and cultural backgrounds and sensitivity, to represent the departments' interests, activities, and community groups. The majority are DSHS/DOH/L&I employees; at least one member who is not a scientist, one who is not affiliated with DSHS, DOH, or L&I, and at least two physicians per Board.

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?
The Board is a requirement for the receipt of federal research funds. The Board operates under a Federalwide Assurance of Compliance with federal regulations. The functions of the Board are specified in 45 CFR 46 and in the Washington State Agency Policy on Protection of Human Research Subjects. Federal policies allow the department to contract for services performed by the Board. However, the cost of contracting the review of initial protocols, study amendments and progress reports for the 250 active research projects managed by the Board would exceed the cost of operating the Board within the agency. More importantly, commercial IRB membership is comprised to review clinical drug research, and would not be appropriate to review research across the diverse social and health programs in the three state agencies. As a result, the level of protection for department clients and others participating in department sponsored research would be diminished. Further, other functions performed by the Board (e.g., training and outreach to researchers, department managers and employees, etc.) would not be duplicated by contracting to an outside commercial Board. Disclosure of agency records under RCW 42.48 would not be possible unless the department maintains an internal Board.

18. Certification

I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Michael Garrick
Executive Secretary
6/29/2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department of Social and Health Services</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>PO Box 45205, Olympia, WA 98504-5205</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>360 902-8075</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Washington Insurance Guaranty Association

1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C)                             2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003
Association members, subject to oversight by the Insurance 1971
Commissioner                                                797                      4

3. Official or agency to which B/C reports                             4. Year created
5. Number of members                    6. # of meetings

7. Summary of primary responsibilities:
Assure the performance of insurance contractual obligations of insolvent insurers to residents of this state with funding through assessments against
members of the association.

8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost
of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost Actual</th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-05</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-07</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another
agency?
No existing staff available to perform this function. Minimum additional staff would include WMS, Band III, Manager, .5 FTE Accountant, and one Office
Assistant. Authority would be needed to continue assessments and to contract with outside counsel. No other agency currently has the expertise or
staff available to complete these duties.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?
Washington residents' claims against insolvent insurers would be dependent for payment on assets of the insolvent insurer. Claims processing would
be delayed potentially for years. Processing of claims against foreign insurers would be dependent on out-of-state receiver.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive
Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency
to create a B/C.
Chapter 48.32 RCW. Specific authority.

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency
director): Insurance Commissioner

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes ☐ No ☑

14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes ☐ No ☑

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)
Class One ☑ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☐

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?
RCW 48.32.040 defines membership in Association. RCW 48.32.050 defines the composition of the Board. Board membership is subject to prior
approval of the Insurance Commissioner.

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by
another organization?
No.

18. Certification
I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Jim Odiorne  Deputy Insurance Commissioner  June 16, 2005
Name Office of Insurance Commissioner  Title  5000 Capitol Boulevard, Tumwater WA  98501  Date  360-725-7214
Agency  Address  Phone
2005 Boards and Commission Report

Washington Integrated Justice Information Board

1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C) Department of Information Services

2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003 2003

3. Official or agency to which B/C reports 2004

4. Year created 18

5. Number of members 20

6. # of meetings last biennium

7. Summary of primary responsibilities:
To develop and maintain in a cost-effective manner, a statewide network of criminal justice information that enables sharing and integrated delivery of justice information.

8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost Actual</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-05</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-07</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?
The corporate resources made available through the Board structure could not be matched by existing staff. It is likely that the statewide perspective on justice information sharing and priorities would be lost.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?
The sharing and delivery of integrated justice information would not be achieved.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.
RCW 10.98

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director): Varied – some ex-officio, some appointed by various local, governmental, judicial bodies.

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes ☐ No ☑
14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes ☐ No ☑

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)
Class One ☑ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☐

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?
Organization, occupational (see Chapter 10.98 RCW)

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?
None

18. Certification
I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Laurel McMillan
Administrative Assistant
June 23, 2005

Name
Department of Information Services
P.O. Box 42445, Olympia, WA 98504-2445

Title
PO Box 42445, Olympia, WA 98504-2445

Date
360/902-3566

Agency
Address
Phone
1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C)  
Office of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003  
1964

3. Official or agency to which B/C reports  
8

4. Year created  
20

5. Number of members  

6. # of meetings last biennium  

7. Summary of primary responsibilities:  
The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) is responsible for administering nine grant programs: the Boating Facilities Program, Non-Highway & Off-Road Vehicle Activities Program, Firearms and Archery Range Recreation Program, Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, National Recreational Trails Funding Act Program, the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Program, Youth Athletic Facilities Program, Boating Infrastructure Grant Program, and the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account Program. In addition, the IAC is responsible for statewide outdoor recreation planning.

8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2003-05 Cost Actual</th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$16,683</td>
<td>Rec Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NOVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FARR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GF Federal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2005-07 Cost Estimate | $25,000                  |

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?
If the IAC was abolished and duties assigned to agency staff there would be a loss of a source of expertise in developing program policy, conducting statewide outdoor recreation planning, and evaluating grant applications. Loss of ongoing coordination of the three state agencies acquiring, owning, developing, and managing outdoor recreation and habitat land. The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation is known for its fair and open grant-making process. If this committee was transferred to another agency, this perception may change. WDFW, DNR, and State Parks all receive grants from the IAC. Other state agencies are also eligible to receive IAC grants for outdoor recreation and habitat protection. It is important that IAC remain independent of these agencies.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?
If the IAC was abolished nine grant programs would lose a source of expertise in developing program policy, conducting statewide outdoor recreation planning, evaluating grant applications, and grant contract management. Loss of ongoing coordination of the three state agencies acquiring, owning, developing, and managing outdoor recreation and habitat land.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.
RCW 79A.25.110 is specific to the creation of the Intergency Committee for Outdoor Recreation.

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director): Governor

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes ☒ No ☐

14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes ☒ No ☐

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)
Class One ☐ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☒ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☐

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?
5 citizen members and 3 state agency directors (Fish and Wildlife, Natural Resources, and State Parks)

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?
None

18. Certification

I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Tammy Owings Executive Coordinator 8/23/05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Office of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1111 Washington Street SE, PO Box 40917, Olympia, WA</td>
<td></td>
<td>(360) 902-2637</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2005 Boards and Commission Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpreter Commission</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Full Name of Board or Commission (B/C)</td>
<td>Washington State Supreme Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Name under which B/C reported in 2003</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Official or agency to which B/C reports</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Year created</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Number of members</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. # of meetings last biennium</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Summary of primary responsibilities:
The Interpreter Advisory Commission operates as a policy making body to direct daily operations of the Washington State Interpreter Program. They offer oversight in the areas of continuing education, discipline, testing and training. The Commission also provides input on budget priorities. The Commission works with public educational institutions to maintain the certification testing (written and oral), and develop and improve training curricula. Because of the diverse membership of the Commission members, they represent various levels of the court community and the current issues confronting our courts as they relate to language interpreters. They act as liaisons between the Interpreter Commission and their respective associations.

8. Enter the cost of B/C operation, including staff support, travel, compensation, consultants and good and services. (Do not count the cost of operating the program; e.g., issuing grants or issuing licenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2003-05 Cost Actual</th>
<th>Total Cost of B/C Operation</th>
<th>Fund Source (e.g., GF State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>Public Safety and Education Account</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005-07 Cost Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff support costs to support the Commission are included as part of the normal and customary responsibility of the AOC as specified by statute and court order.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties assigned to staff within the agency or to another agency?
Absent the Commission's input, a critical layer of review and appeal for certified interpreters would be eliminated.

10. What would be the expected consequences if the B/C were abolished and its duties were terminated entirely?
The Interpreter Program, managed through AOC would not have a policy making body or core input related to continuing education, discipline, and continuing judicial and court administrator education. There would be a lack of commitment by the court community and the interpreter community who were not engaged in the systems where they work.

11. What is the legal authorization for the B/C? Provide the citation for the authorization under the State Constitution, RCW, WAC, Executive Order, or federal statutory or regulatory requirement. State whether the authority is specific to the B/C, or a general authority for an agency to create a B/C.
RCW 2.43.070 and Washington State Supreme Court Order

12. Title of official who appoints the B/C (e.g., Governor, agency director):
Chief Justice of the Washington State Supreme Court

13. Is Senate confirmation required? Yes ☐ No ☒
14. Authority to issue subpoenas? Yes ☐ No ☒

15. What is the compensation class of the B/C? (See RCW 43.03.220-265 for definitions.)
Class One ☒ Class Two ☐ Class Three ☐ Class Four ☐ Class Five ☐ Full-time salaried ☐

16. What are the membership composition or representation requirements for the B/C?
The membership includes representatives from: the Supreme Court (chair), Superior Court Judges Association (2), District and Municipal Court Judges Association (2), Hispanic Affairs Commission (1), African American Affairs Commission (1), Washington State Commission on Asian Affairs (1), Washington State Prosecuting Attorney's Association (1), certified interpreter (2), Washington Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers (1), public member (1), and member at large (1).

17. Are there federal requirements that the B/C exist and perform specific functions? What are those? Could the requirement be met by another organization?
None

18. Certification
I hereby certify via electronic submittal that the above information is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Janet L. McLane 6/28/05
State Court Administrator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Office of the Courts</td>
<td>PO Box 41174, Olympia, WA 98504</td>
<td>360-357-2120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

203