



STATE OF WASHINGTON
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

RFP NO. 16-1200

Water Infrastructure Investment Analysis

AMENDMENT NO. ONE (1)

OFM RESPONSE TO BIDDER QUESTIONS

06.02.2016

OFM Answers to Bidders' Questions

This OFM Answer to Bidders' Questions may only explain or clarify some aspect that is already addressed in the RFP. However, some of the answers may also supplement or change what was previously stated in the RFP or in an exhibit.

Therefore it is important that bidders review all questions and answers, and not just those which they may have submitted.

1) **Question:** *We'd like to confirm that the proposal has no page limitation.*

OFM Answer: **That is correct.**

2) **Question:** *We noticed a discrepancy in the schedule and would like clarification. On page 14: Schedule. Provide a detailed schedule for the project. Affirm that staff will be available to begin no later than July 12, 2016. On page 6: Begin contract work: July 14.*

OFM Answer: **Affirm that staff will be available to begin no later than July 14, 2016.**

3) **Question:** *Regarding page 6: Any other communication will be considered unofficial and non-binding on the AGENCY. Bidders are to rely on written statements issued by the RFP Coordinator. Communication directed to parties other than the RFP Coordinator will result in disqualification of the Bidder. Are we free to consult with parties outside of OFM?*

OFM Answer: **This needs to be taken within the context of the procurement, i.e. Bidders are not permitted to contact OFM or other state employees about this procurement specifically.**

4) **Question:** *Regarding page 2: b. An estimate of the economic benefits (jobs, commerce and development) of flood, drought, and storm water infrastructure investment incrementally by \$1 billion - \$10 billion. Does this statement mean that OFM intends for the economic analysis to consider investments that total up to \$10 billion?*

OFM Answer: **\$10 billion is not a cap, but a range.**