

# 2001-03 Performance Progress Report

## For Quarter Ending 6/30/2003

Agency 245

### Military Department

#### Mission

The Washington Military Department is a constitutionally based organization with an uncompromising dedication to safeguarding the citizens, property, environment and economy of our state and region and defending American interests through execution of the National Military Strategy and the National Strategy for Homeland Security.

**Goal** Maintain comprehensive and collaborative readiness and emergency response plans.

**Performance Measure** Percentage of state and federal readiness standards met by the Air National Guard and Army National Guard.

\* Weighted average of Air and Army Guard readiness.

Outcome	Fiscal Year 2002				Fiscal Year 2003			
	<u>Quarter 1</u>	<u>Quarter 2</u>	<u>Quarter 3</u>	<u>Quarter 4</u>	<u>Quarter 5</u>	<u>Quarter 6</u>	<u>Quarter 7</u>	<u>Quarter 8</u>
Estimate	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Actual	92%	85%	83.5%	84.5%	85%	83.5%	85.9%	85%

Date Measured

**Quarter 3 Comment** Due to federal deployments and soldiers on State Active Duty, the number of units meeting the readiness standard has dropped slightly from previous quarters.

**Quarter 7 Comment** Due to the increasing number of soldiers and airmen on federal deployments, the number of units meeting the readiness standard has dropped slightly from previous quarters.

**Quarter 8 Comment** Due to the increasing number of soldiers and airmen on federal deployments, the number of units meeting the readiness standard remained fairly stagnant over the past several quarters.

**Goal** Respond effectively to requests for assistance.

**Performance Measure** Number of government and business entities that file new disaster mitigation plans.

Outcome	Fiscal Year 2002				Fiscal Year 2003			
	<u>Quarter 1</u>	<u>Quarter 2</u>	<u>Quarter 3</u>	<u>Quarter 4</u>	<u>Quarter 5</u>	<u>Quarter 6</u>	<u>Quarter 7</u>	<u>Quarter 8</u>
Estimate				12				24
Actual				4				0

Date Measured

**Quarter 8 Comment** This past year the Federal Emergency Management Agency implemented new planning guidance for hazard mitigation plans. Local jurisdictions that were working on plans using old criteria were required to switch gears and begin using the new criteria. The change in criteria delayed the process therefore no plans were completed during this reporting period.

**Goal** Be accountable for the efficient and effective use of allocated resources.

# 2001-03 Performance Progress Report

## For Quarter Ending 6/30/2003

Agency 245

### Military Department

**Performance Measure** Average number of days to complete preventive work orders.

Outcome	Fiscal Year 2002				Fiscal Year 2003			
	<u>Quarter 1</u>	<u>Quarter 2</u>	<u>Quarter 3</u>	<u>Quarter 4</u>	<u>Quarter 5</u>	<u>Quarter 6</u>	<u>Quarter 7</u>	<u>Quarter 8</u>
Estimate				70				65
Actual	75	75	70	70	70	70		0

Date Measured

**Quarter 7 Comment**

A comprehensive statewide assessment of the maintenance requirements of all facilities was just completed. Employees visited every facility in the Military Departments inventory to determine if workorders in the system were valid and what additional work orders needed to be entered. This will enable the department to make an accurate assessment of maintenance backlog and funding required to reduce the backlog. We will report a maintenance backlog figure next quarter.

**Quarter 8 Comment**

The comprehensive study generated a significant number of new work orders and the cancellation of many others already in the system. Near the start of state fiscal year 2004, the Military Department plans to hire a temporary employee to focus on updating the electronic work order system so an accurate backlog figure can be determined.