

## Single Prioritized List, E2SHB 2483

**Legislative Intent.** During its 2012 second special session, the Legislature reaffirmed the 4-year higher educational capital project evaluation and scoring system established in 2008, while again returning to the concept of a single prioritized list. Under Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill 2483, Section 110 (4), the Office of Financial Management (OFM) is designated to provide the Governor and Legislature with a single prioritized list of the major and intermediate projects requesting funding during the 2013-15 biennium. In developing the prioritized list, the OFM is to be guided by the following criteria in the following order:

- i. the project evaluation and scoring process pursuant to 43.88D RCW;
- ii. preserving assets;
- iii. degree production; and
- iv. maximizing efficient use of instructional space.

Furthermore, the ranking of the prioritized list of capital projects may **not**:

- i. include subpriorities;
- ii. be organized by category;
- iii. assume any state bond or building account biennial funding level to prioritize the list;  
or
- iv. assume any specific share of projects by institution in the priority list.

**Converting to a Single Prioritize List.** In concert with legislative fiscal staff, OFM has developed a purely mathematic approach to convert the project scores from the categorical scoring approach pursuant to 43.88D RCW (hereafter referred to as Phase 1) to a single prioritized list (hereafter referred to as Phase 2). Below is an outline of the approach followed by a conversion matrix at the end of this document.

1. Take the maximum possible score without priority points from Phase 1 for each category and determine the conversion factor required to convert the score to a base of 100 to get all categories to a common reference point. This will eliminate ranking by category or subpriorities.
2. To reflect the order of priority prescribed in E2SHB 2483, projects that **preserve assets** shall receive 15 points (or 0 if not applicable). Renovations and Infrastructure projects will rank higher under this criterion.
3. Projects that increase **degree production** will receive a maximum of 10 points (or 0 if not applicable). This will be determined by converting the points received for the criteria “Integral to Achieving Statewide Policy Goals” (maximum 13 points). Since intermediate projects are not scored on these criteria, they will receive 0 points.
4. Scoring **efficient use of instructional space**: This will be a calculation based on the efficiency percentage found on Form CBS002. Science facilities will receive an additional 5% as they do in the Ph. 1 scoring process. The resulting efficiency percentage will then be weighted for a maximum score of 5 (or 0 if not applicable, e.g. an Infrastructure project or land acquisition). Since little programming has been done prior to a request for predesign funding, predesign projects will also receive 0 points in this category.
5. In the event of a tie, the rank will be determined by the respective project scores from Phase 1, excluding the institutional priority points. One-tenth of a point will be added to the higher scoring project from Phase 1 to establish the rank order between the tied projects on the single prioritized list.

**Release of the Single Prioritize List.** Release of the single prioritized list is not dictated by statute. Consequently, the release date shall be determined by the Governor but shall be no later than start of the 2013 Legislative Session.

## 2013-15 Single Prioritized List Conversion Matrix

CATEGORY		MAXIMUM POSSIBLE POINTS						
		Phase 1 Categorical Scoring 43.88D RCW	Conversion Factor to Scale of 100	Common Baseline	Preserving Assets <sup>2</sup>	Degree Production	Max. Efficient Use of Instructional Space	Maximum Converted Score
MAJOR	GROWTH	74.0	1.35	100	0	10	5	115.0
	RENOVATION	85.0	1.18	100	15	10	5	130.0
	REPLACEMENT	85.0	1.18	100	0	10	5	115.0
	RESEARCH	96.0	1.04	100	0	10	5	115.0
INTERMEDIATE	GROWTH	53.0	1.89	100	0	0	5	105.0
	RENOVATION	64.0	1.56	100	15	0	5	120.0
	REPLACEMENT	64.0	1.56	100	0	0	5	105.0
	RESEARCH	71.0	1.41	100	0	0	5	105.0
	INFRASTRUCTURE	53.0	1.89	100	15	0	0	115.0
	PREDESIGN	58.0	1.72	100	0	0	0	100.0
	LAND/ACQUISITION	52.0	1.92	100	0	0	0	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Maximum possible points excluding institutional priority points.

<sup>2</sup>Projects that combine a renovation and addition shall receive points for preserving assets based on the percentage of the total project square footage that is renovation.