

Agency: 411 - Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board
Decision Package Code/Title: AA – Capital Projects
Budget Period: 2015-17
Budget Level: Maintenance

Program **01C - Capital**

Recommendation Summary

Funding is provided for projects approved by the Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board (FMSIB).

Fiscal Detail

Detail by Fund	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17
09E-1 Freight Mobility In	8,113,000	8,113,000	16,226,000
106-1 Highway Safety Acc	775,000	775,000	1,550,000
108-1 Motor Vehicle Accd	42,000	42,000	84,000
108-2 Motor Vehicle Accd	1,625,000	1,625,000	3,250,000
11E-1 Freight Mobility Mu	1,338,000	1,338,000	2,676,000
Total by Fund	11,893,000	11,893,000	23,786,000

Package Description

The capital budget reflects 29 projects that are a combination of new starts and current projects that will carry forward into the 2015-17 biennium. Twelve projects are scheduled to start or be completed in the 2015-2017 biennium. FMSIB has the program authority to accelerate one or more of the 17 additional projects that have been awarded, but are scheduled to be funded after the 2015-2017 biennium.

Narrative Justification and Impact

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

If funding is provided, work can start or continue on capital projects, resulting in economic benefits and enhancing safety and improving mobility.

Performance Measure Detail

N/A

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency’s strategic plan? If so, please describe.

The projects funded in this package support the FMSIB’s goals of reducing congestion on freight corridors, improvement of safety in the movement of freight, and reducing the cost of moving goods. Many of the projects also mitigate the community impacts related to freight movement.

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities? If so, please describe.

Completion of these projects furthers the Governor's priority to have a sustainable, efficient infrastructure which meets tomorrow's needs.

Identify important connections or impacts related to this proposal.

Several projects are targeted to mitigate the impacts of freight on communities. This has become a front and center issue in Washington State.

What alternatives were explored, and why was this alternative chosen?

In the case of limited funding, the choices could include delays in project delivery and/or changes to the number of projects.

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

FMSIB typically leverages five dollars for every FMSIB dollar invested. If funding is not provided, the construction of many freight mobility projects will be stopped and the state will lose the opportunity to partner with other public and private sector funding. The state's economy will be adversely affected.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state capital budget?

None

Determine which statutes, rules, or contracts might be impacted.

None

Expenditure calculations and assumptions.

The appropriation requested is based on the biennial needs identified in each individual project.

Which costs and functions are one-time versus ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

As a capital request, there are costs associated with delivering projects and programs that extend into future biennia. However, capital projects have historically been treated as one-time expenditures in the budget processes that establish the carry forward funding for the ensuing biennium. Funding for projects is then added back to the budget as a maintenance-level adjustment. This decision package assumes the practice of treating capital projects as one-time expenditures.

Agency: 411 - Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board
Decision Package Code/Title: BA - Administrative Cost Increases
Budget Period: 2015-17
Budget Level: Maintenance

Program **010 - Operating**

Recommendation Summary

Funding is provided to meet relocation costs and increased rent for The Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board (FMSIB).

Fiscal Detail

Detail by Fund	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
001-1 General Fund-Stat	20,000	-	20,000	-	-
108-1 MVA-State	6,000	6,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Total by Fund	26,000	6,000	32,000	12,000	12,000

Package Description

With FMSIB’s small operating budget, the agency is requesting funding for agency relocation costs of \$20,000 (one-time). FMSIB is requesting a permanent maintenance level increase of \$12,000 per biennium to reflect current market rental rates.

Narrative Justification and Impact

FMSIB has been located at the Capital Park Building for the past decade. This building is currently being proposed to be torn down and FMSIB is required to relocate at the beginning of next biennium. If the building is not torn down, the Office of Financial Management (OFM) has informed us that we will still be required to relocate because it is not cost effective for the building to be maintained with just two small agencies occupying the building. Because the status of the Capital Park Building has been uncertain for several years, rental rates have been flat and no longer reflect market rental rates.

OFM has provided a cost estimate of \$20,000 for relocation costs. OFM also estimates an ongoing, 40% increase in rent. This increase in rent reflects current market rental rates.

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

N/A

Performance Measure Detail

N/A

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan? If so, please describe.

N/A

Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities? If so, please describe.

N/A

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process? If so, please describe.

N/A

Identify important connections or impacts related to this proposal.

None

What alternatives were explored, and why was this alternative chosen?

OFM and FMSIB will work with the property owner to receive the best rental rate possible. However, relocation is a fixed cost. In addition, with FMSIB's current rent at approximately 40% below market rate, this proposed decision package request is conservative.

What are the consequences of not funding this package?

Without the one-time relocation funding, and the on-going rental increase, FMSIB will be unable to perform the administrative functions of the agency.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state capital budget?

The relocation has a direct relationship with the state capital budget. The capital budget will pay for the demolition of the Capital Park Building.

Determine which statutes, rules, or contracts might be impacted.

None

Expenditure calculations and assumptions.

N/A

Which costs and functions are one-time versus ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

The relocation costs due to the building demolition are one-time expenditures. The increase in rent will impact future biennia.

Objects of Expenditure

Object of Expenditure Detail					
Object of Expenditure	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2015-17	2017-19
E - Goods and Services	26,000	6,000	32,000	12,000	12,000
Total by Object	26,000	6,000	32,000	12,000	12,000